

Appendix 4

Redeposit Responses **Recycling & Pollution**

Redeposit Responses
Recycling & Pollution

Policy/ Par RP5A	Respondent Reference 0062 / Essex Wildlife Trust
Representation 00242R	Agent Reference -
Representation Welcome recognition of potential adverse impacts on wildlife in the policy. Original objection withdrawn	
Officer Response Withdrawal of original objection noted	
Officer Recommendation No change	
Member Decision	

Policy/ Par 8.21A	Respondent Reference 0062 / Essex Wildlife Trust
Representation 00243R	Agent Reference -
Representation Strongly support this paragraph	
Officer Response Support noted	
Officer Recommendation No change	
Member Decision	

Policy/ Par RP5A	Respondent Reference 0168 / Environment Agency
Representation 00001R	Agent Reference -
Representation Water should be added to list of pollution receptors in both paras (i) and (ii) of the policy	
Officer Response It is accepted that the pollution of water from development can be a serious issue, especially to wildlife habitats and public health. Adding it to the list of receptors in part (i) is therefore supported. Part (ii) of the policy seeks to protect sensitive development from pollution and water pollution is covered by the general phrase 'adverse environmental conditions'.	
Officer Recommendation Amend criterion (i) to read.... <u>"air, ground, water or light pollution....."</u>	
Member Decision	

Policy/ Par Chapter 8	Respondent Reference 0168 / Environment Agency
Representation 00015R	Agent Reference -
Representation Mention should be made in this chapter that noise, vibration,dust,light should not adversely impact on wildlife	
Officer Response This issue is mentioned in criterion (i) of policy RP5A	
Officer Recommendation No change	
Member Decision	

Policy/ Par RP5A	Respondent Reference 0068 / English Heritage
Representation 00391R	Agent Reference -
Representation	
Mention "archeology and historic features" after ..."species and habitats" at the end of criterion (i).	
Officer Response	
These factors, whilst relevant, are not considered as fundamental as water quality to the pollution issue(see objection 0001R above). Other policies in the Heritage Conservation chapetr of the Plan also deal with protecting the historic environment in more detail. The policy could become largely a list of factors which by definition cannot be exhaustive and would make the plan repetitive. That said however, it is useful to revisit the background text and its relationship to the policy, to see if any changes could be relatively simply made which would aid clarity , explain the policy better, and meet the objection at the same time.	
Officer Recommendation	
That para 8.19a be replaced with... <u>"Some types of development or land use may be unacceptable when sited next to, or near, housing and other sensitive locations such as protected wildlife and historic sites. Excessive outputs of, for example, noise, smell, dust, vibration or light can all cause unacceptable problems in the wrong locations or where they cannot be properly controlled. Conversely, sensitive new developments or uses should not be located next to or near existing uses which would result in unacceptable environmental problems or conflicts."</u>	
Member Decision	